

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/803,941	03/13/2001	Koichi Ikeshima	WATK:210	9068
75	90 02/22/2006		EXAM	INER
C HARLES A, WENDEL			DICUS, TAMRA	
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP 1330 CONNECTICUT AVENUE N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	······································

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)		
IKESHIMA, KOICHI		
Art Unit		
1774		

	Tamra L. Dicus	1774 .					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 February 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply market	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		TINOTINEELI WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extention a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 	 See attached Notice of Non-Co 	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all _ non-allowable claim(s). 	•	·	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil ided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	before or on the date of filing a No sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appearance and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ce because:				
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
13. Other:							
		•					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendment filed introduces new matter. Applicant alleges that the raw material is on the inside and points to Fig. 1(b), portion 3. However, portion 3 is clearly on the outside, not inside. Further the specification always refers to the raw material coating as being on the outside, as explained in the instant Summary of Invention. Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant argues the submitted drawing was not a drawing and a part of the remarks, however, the drawing was submitted as a separate sheet as the page numbers are absent and do not continue from the remarks. The rejections are maintained for reasons of record.

RENA DYE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A.U.1724 2115/26